of a silly and suspect survey that has slapped the citizens with uncertainty and soured them for the security because seizing citizen sites has taken place.

The question was asked: Where is BLM? That is a good question. Where is BLM? They started this problem 8 years ago and have yet to do anything to try and solve the problem. That is why this bill is here before us because BLM has not done their job. Using a poor survey process, they have simply put people who have done nothing wrong in doubt of their ownership of their property which they have had for generations and have been paving taxes on for years. Yet, in 8 long years, BLM has done nothing to solve the situation to give them the certainty so they know where they stand.

That is why the private citizens went to court. The only reason it is in court is because these private citizens were so frustrated with BLM taking so long to do something that could have been done within a matter of weeks, and yet it is now 8 years into a process simply because BLM used a flawed survey. Instead of using the gradient boundary survey method that the Supreme Court suggests, they did something else which brought them to the unusual conclusion that BLM actually owned 90,000 acres of land on this riverbank that they have never had in their historv.

Later, they realized that was an unusual claim, so they lowered it down to: I own 30,000 acres of land—but 30,000 acres of land that has been in private property for years, for generations, they have been paying taxes on it, and now their land is in limbo. They can't do anything simply because BLM has refused to do its job.

It is not just here in Texas. Go across the State boundary to Louisiana where Lake Bistineau has the exact same problem with the exact same survey problems from the same agency, BLM. Go all the way to Colorado with Elkhorn Ranch. Once again, survey problems done by BLM which placed claims on private property that are exorbitant and yet moves at a snail's pace to try and solve the problem.

One of the first issues I dealt with when I came to Congress was Hyde Park, and, once again, the Federal Government—this time it was the Forest Service—taking claim on lands that had been, for generations, in private property and refusing to try and work with the property owners to solve the problem. That is what has been going on for 8 long years with the boundary line between Texas and Oklahoma.

Why are we coming here with a bill? Simply because you have got to solve the problem. You have got to fix the problem for people.

I have to also say something. The misrepresentation of the BLM planning rule that was presented is a total misrepresentation. In fact, when we removed that rule, the 2.0 planning rule, we did it because people want to have

their voices heard and are eliminated if that planning rule goes into effect. That is why it has to stop, so this type of situation does not happen again.

Some people have said this may be an unprecedented concept. Actually, our realization that somebody has to handle the situation by actually allowing Oklahoma and Texas to pick qualified surveyors, do the survey—and do the survey—and then coordinate with the tribes so they come up with a process, that is exactly what should have happened in 2009. Because BLM didn't do it, we are going to bring a bill to make sure they actually get something done.

This has been supported by the Texas and Oklahoma Farm Bureaus, the Texas General Land Office, Texas Southwest Cattle Raisers Association, and the people who live in this area who want to have some kind of conclusion so they can have their property rights respected.

Now, it has been said what we are doing is unprecedented—perhaps. What we are doing is trying to solve the problem to help people; and if it takes an unprecedented action by Congress to solve people's problem and let them move on with their lives, then that is the responsibility of Congress. We are the ones who establish what the policies should be, not some executive branch agency of government. It is our responsibility.

We are doing exactly what the people expect us to do by saying 8 years of unexpected and unanswered questions is far too long. Solve the problem and help people so they know what is their private property and what is not their private property and they can move on with their lives. If that is unprecedented, then it is about time we did something that is unprecedented. That is important.

That is why this bill is here, and that is why this bill is here now. It is coming at the beginning of the session because we cannot wait longer for the BLM to actually do what they should have done in 2009.

Now, Mr. Speaker, I want you to realize we are here on Valentine's Day. There is nothing special about that, but this is an issue where there has been no love lost. In fact, the landowners along this river have been simply soaked. But deep in the heart of Texas—all right, I know it is a boundary line, but I have got to get the heart in there some way. Deep in the heart of Texas, we are coming forth with a bill that is showing that the love for people who have paid their taxes and lived on this land for generations is not forgotten and that BLM has committed a crime of the heart with this land grab.

Indeed, Chairman THORNBERRY has passionately defended the interests of his constituents who just want to know the government loves them. That is why this bill is here. That is why it needs to be supported, and that is why I urge you to vote "yes."

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. All time for debate has expired.

Pursuant to House Resolution 99, the previous question is ordered on the bill.

The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Ms. HANABUSA. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question will be postponed.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess subject to the call of the Chair.

Accordingly (at 3 o'clock and 52 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess.

□ 1615

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. HOLDING) at 4 o'clock and 15 minutes p.m.

RED RIVER GRADIENT BOUNDARY SURVEY ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on passage of the bill (H.R. 428) to survey the gradient boundary along the Red River in the States of Oklahoma and Texas, and for other purposes, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 250, nays 171, not voting 10, as follows:

[Roll No. 92] YEAS—250

Abraham Brat Aderholt Bridenstine Allen Brooks (AL) Amash Brooks (IN) Amodei Buchanan Arrington Buck Bucshon Babin Budd Bacon Banks (IN) Burgess Barletta. Byrne Calvert Barr Barton Carter (GA) Bergman Carter (TX) Biggs Castro (TX) Chabot Chaffetz Bilirakis Bishop (MI) Bishop (UT) Chenev Black Coffman Blackburn Cole Collins (GA) Blum Bost. Collins (NY)

Comer

Brady (TX)

Comstock Conaway Cook Costello (PA) Cramer Crawford Crist Cuellar Culberson Curbelo (FL) Davidson Davis, Rodney Denham Dent DeSantis DesJarlais Diaz-Balart Doggett Donovan Duffv Duncan (SC) Duncan (TN)

Kinzinger

Labrador

LaHood

LaMalfa

Lamborn

LoBiondo

MacArthur

Marchant

Marshall

McCarthy

McHenry

McKinley

McMorris

McSally

Meadows

Meehan

Mitchell

Moolenaar

Mooney (WV)

Murphy (PA)

Newhouse

O'Rourke

Messer

Mullin

Noem

Nunes

Olson

Palazzo

Palmer.

Paulsen

Pearce

Perrv

Peters

Peterson

Pittenger

Poe (TX)

Poliquin

Ratcliffe

Reichert

Renacci

Rice (SC)

Posev

Reed

Robv

Rodgers

McClintock

Marino

Massie

Mast

Lance

Latta

Long

Love

Lucas

Kustoff (TN)

Knight

Polis

Price (NC)

Richmond

Roybal-Allard

Ruppersberger

Ryan (OH)

Sánchez

Sarbanes

Schneider

Schrader

Serrano

Scott (VA)

Scott, David

Sewell (AL)

Shea-Porter

Sherman

Sinema

Schiff

Schakowsky

Quigley

Raskin

Rosen

Ruiz

Dunn Emmer Farenthold Faso Ferguson Fitzpatrick Fleischmann Flores Fortenberry Lewis (MN) Foxx Franks (AZ) Frelinghuysen Loudermilk Gaetz Gallagher Garrett Gibbs Luetkemever Gohmert Gonzalez (TX) Goodlatte Gosar Gottheimer Gowdy Granger Graves (GA) Graves (LA) Graves (MO) Green, Al Green, Gene Griffith Grothman Guthrie Harper Harris Hartzler Hensarling Herrera Beutler Hice, Jody B. Higgins (LA) Hill Holding Hollingsworth Hudson Huizenga Hultgren Hunter Hurd Tssa. Jackson Lee Jenkins (KS) Jenkins (WV) Johnson (LA) Johnson (OH) Johnson E B Johnson, Sam Jones Jordan Joyce (OH) Katko Kelly (MS) Roe (TN) Kelly (PA) Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) King (IA) King (NY)

Rohrabacher Rokita Rooney, Francis Rooney, Thomas J. Ros-Lehtinen Roskam Ross Rothfus Rouzer Royce (CA) Russell Rutherford Sanford Scalise Schweikert Scott, Austin Sensenbrenner Sessions Shimkus Shuster Simpson Smith (MO) Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Smucker Stefanik Stewart Stivers Tavlor Tenney Thompson (PA) Thornberry Tiberi Tipton Trott Turner Unton Valadao Veasey Vela Wagner Walberg Walden Walker Walorski Walters, Mimi Weber (TX) Webster (FL) Wenstrup Westerman Williams Wilson (SC) Wittman Womack Woodall Yoder Yoho Young (AK)

NAYS-171

Adams Costa Aguilar Courtney Barragán Crowlev Bass Cummings Bera Davis (CA) Davis, Danny Bever Bishop (GA) DeFazio Blumenauer DeGette Blunt Rochester Delanev Bonamici DeLauro Boyle, Brendan DelBene DeSaulnier F. Brady (PA) Brown (MD) Dingell Brownley (CA) Doyle, Michael Bustos F. Ellison Butterfield Capuano Eshoo Espaillat Carbajal Cárdenas Estv Carson (IN) Evans Cartwright Foster Frankel (FL) Castor (FL) Chu, Judy Fudge Cicilline Clark (MA) Gabbard Garamendi Clarke (NY) Grijalva Clay Cleaver Gutiérrez Hanabusa Clyburn Hastings Cohen Heck Connolly Higgins (NY) Convers Himes Hoyer Huffman ${\bf Cooper}$ Correa

Jayapal Jeffries Johnson (GA) Kaptur Keating Kelly (IL) Kennedy Khanna Kihuen Kildee Kilmer Kind Krishnamoorthi Kuster (NH) Langevin Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Lawrence Lawson (FL) Lee Levin Lewis (GA) Lieu, Ted Lipinski Loebsack Lofgren Lowenthal Lowey Lujan Grisham, Luján, Ben Ray Lynch Malonev. Carolyn B

Maloney, Sean

Young (IA)

Zeldin

Matsui McCollum McEachin McGovern McNernev Meeks Meng Moore Moulton Murphy (FL) Nadler Napolitano Neal Nolan Norcross O'Halleran Pallone Panetta Pascrell Payne Pelosi Perlmutter Pingree Pocan

Slaughter Smith (WA) Soto Speier Suozzi Swalwell (CA) Takano Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Titus Tonko Torres Tsongas Vargas Velázquez Walz Wasserman Schultz Waters, Maxine Watson Coleman Welch Wilson (FL) Yarmuth

NOT VOTING-10

McCaul Beatty Visclosky Demings Mulvanev Zinke Rice (NY) Engel Gallego

□ 1638

Ms. McCOLLUM, Messrs. JEFFRIES, and KILDEE changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

Messrs. PETERS and DOGGETT changed their vote from "nay" "yea."

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. McCAUL. Mr. Speaker, on February 14, 2017, I missed both voting sessions. If present, I would have voted as follows:

"Yes"-Previous Question on H. Res. 99.

"Yes"-H. Res. 99-The combined rule providing for consideration of the bill H.R. 428-Red River Gradient Boundary Survey Act and of the bill H.J. Res. 42-Disapproving the rule submitted by the Department of Labor relating to drug testing of unemployment compensation applicants.

"Yes"—Previous Question on H. Res. 116.

"Yes"-H. Res. 116-The combined rule providing for consideration of the bill H.J. Res. 66-Disapproving the rule submitted by the Department of Labor relating to savings arrangements established by States for non-governmental employees and of the bill H.J. Res. 67-Disapproving the rule submitted by the Department of Labor relating to savings arrangements established by qualified State political subdivisions for non-governmental employees.

"Yes"—H.R. 428—Red River Gradient Boundary Survey Act.

REPORT ON RESOLUTION PRO-VIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.J. RES. 43, PROVIDING FOR CONGRESSIONAL DISAPPROVAL OF FINAL RULE BY SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERV-ICES: PROVIDING FOR CONSIDER-ATION OF H.J. RES. 69, PRO-VIDING FOR CONGRESSIONAL DISAPPROVAL OF FINAL RULE OF DEPARTMENT OF THE INTE-RIOR: AND PROVIDING FOR PRO-CEEDINGS DURING THE PERIOD FEBRUARY FROM 17. 2017. THROUGH FEBRUARY 24, 2017

Mr. BURGESS, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 115-12) on the resolution (H. Res. 123) providing for consideration of the joint resolution (H.J. Res. 43) providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, of the final rule submitted by Secretary of Health and Human Services relating to compliance with title X requirements by project recipients in selecting subrecipients; providing for consideration of the joint resolution (H.J. Res. 69) providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, of the final rule of the Department of the Interior relating to "Non-Subsistence Take of Wildlife, and Public Participation and Closure Procedures, on National Wildlife Refuges in Alaska"; and providing for proceedings during the period from February 17, 2017, through February 24, 2017, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed

PROVIDING FOR A JOINT SESSION OF CONGRESS TO RECEIVE A MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

Mr. BURGESS. Mr. Speaker, I send to the desk a privileged concurrent resolution and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the concurrent resolution, as follows:

H. CON. RES. 23

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That the two Houses of Congress assemble in the Hall of the House of Representatives on Tuesday, February 28, 2017, at 9 p.m., for the purpose of receiving such communication as the President of the United States shall be pleased to make to them.

The concurrent resolution was agreed

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

AMERICAN HEART MONTH

(Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, today, there will be a lot of focus on hearts, and I would like to talk about heart health.

February marks American Heart Month, which is an annual awareness